

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/838,999	04/20/2001	Stefan Posch	AT 000026	2730	
24737 759	90 12/29/2003		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PITTS, HAROLD I		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF N	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/20/2007	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N .	Applicant(s)	PALCIL	64 11
Office Action Summary	091838999		POSCH	K+ AC
	Examiner LANS/J	Pitts	Group Art Unit	AW
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	, beneath the corr	espondence a	ddress
Period for Reply	≨	0 ربرس		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE [*] OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MA	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defatighter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory mini ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) da orn the mailing date o	ys will be conside of this communicat	red timely.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				 •
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	ept for formal matters, pro 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	secution as to th	e merits is clo	sed in
Disp sition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are per	nding in the app	olication.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are wit	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
/- /0		is/are allowed.		
Ψ Claim(s) 7 Ψ		is/are allo	owed.	
✓ Claim(s) / - 6		is/are allo	owed.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are rej	ected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejo	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejo is/are obj are subje	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ving Review, PTO-948.	is/are reji is/are obj are subje requirem	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are reji is/are obj are subje requirem	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Th drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are reji is/are obj are subje requirem	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Th drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	is/are reji is/are obj are subje requirem	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	is/are reji is/are obj are subje requirem	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejustre is/are objection is/are objection is/are subjection is/are requirem is/are rejustrem is/are subjection is/are rejustrem is/are subjection is/are rejustrem is/are subjection is/are rejustrem is/are rejustrem is/are rejustrem is/are rejustrem is/are subjection is/are rejustrem is/are subjection is/are rejustrem is	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Th drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejuinis/are rejuinis/are objuinis/are objuinis/are subjeinis/are subjeinis/are requirem disapproved.	ected. lected to. lect to restriction	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draved ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents	is/are rejuine is/are objection is/are o	ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent.	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Th drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents	is/are rejuine is/are objection is/are objection is/are objection is/are objection is/are subjection is/are subjection is/are objection is/are	ected. iected to. ect to restriction ent.	or election
☐ Claim(s)	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents i	is/are rejuice is/are object is/are object is/are object is/are subject is/are su	ected. ected to. ect to restriction ent.	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draved ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ In drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents i	is/are rejuice is/are object is/are object is/are object is/are subject is/are su	ected. ected to. ect to restriction ent.	or election
☐ Claim(s)	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents	is/are rejuice is/are object is/are object is/are object is/are subject is/are su	ected to. ect to restriction ent.	or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ In drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents	is/are rejuine is/are objection is/are objection is/are subjection	ected. lected to. lect to restriction ent. ry, PTO-413	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part f Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 09/838,999

Art Unit: 2876

99

Page 2

Rejections will be based on the following criteria the criteria for applicant and/or counsel is

ordinary skill in the art, i.e. a knowledge of all prior art including the ability to read, comprehend

to point out the claimed invention compared to the prior concepts. The applicant is considered to

have the pertinent prior art before him during conception and reduction to practice of the

invention in light of this prior art including drafting the specification and claims. The applicant is

considered to be aware that to merely substitute or additionally employ one or more teachings of

one or more of the references before him in a combinational sense would clearly e within the

purview of obviousness, the motivation being the skilled artisan's recognition of the

interchangeable teachings of similar systems and the expedient of a substitutive or an additive

employment of one or more prior art system concepts to provide a particular solution or to bring

about a desired result.

35 USA 112 rejections:

a. The disclosure, like the claims point out the invention. A disclosure in which the

lexicography is unclear. Vague, convoluted or incomplete does not comply with the statute.

b. A disclosure which merely discusses prior art concepts without really setting a forth on

independently arrived at enabling disclosure does not comply.

C. Claims based on a disclosure as above or are vague, incomplete or merely expressions

or desired results do not comply with the statute.

35 USC 103 rejections and motivation.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,999

Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

The criteria here is a skilled artisan who is looking first to the prior art for aid in the conception and reduction to practice phase of inventing and who is technologically skilled in the research of patent and other documentation and in the employment of prior art concepts in substitutive and additive combinations to address and implement a system, having collected and subjected the pertinent prior art (such as cited here in) and viewing the prior art technique of employing the desired inventive concepts in or more combinations to provide successfully similar solutions and which considered in combination address applicant's essential inventive concept, would find in such an addressing the "suggestion" or "suggestions" or "motivation" that the prior art concepts might be successfully employed in combination as set forth in applicant's claims.

35 USC 102 rejections:

A rejection under 35 USC 102 indicates that the claims, drafted in light of one or more references, fail to point and distinctly claim any discernible novel essential inventive concept.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2876

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are allowed.

The specification must be edited and placed in U.S. form MPEP 608.01a

Prosecution on the merits is closed ex Parte Quayle.

Harold Framing

H PITTS/pj

12/23/03